

A Summary of Student Engagement Results

Student engagement represents two critical features of collegiate quality. The first is the amount of time and effort students put into their studies and other educationally purposeful activities. The second is how institutional resources, courses, and other learning opportunities facilitate student participation in activities that matter to student learning. NSSE surveys undergraduate students in their first and final years to assess their levels of engagement and related information about their experience at your institution.

Comparison Group
 The comparison group featured in this report is **regional**
 See your *Selected Comparison Groups* report for details.

This *Snapshot* is a concise collection of key findings from your institution's NSSE 2019 administration. We hope this information stimulates discussions about the undergraduate experience. Additional details about these and other results appear in the reports referenced throughout.

Engagement Indicators

Sets of items are grouped into ten Engagement Indicators, organized under four broad themes. At right are summary results for your institution. For details, see your *Engagement Indicators* report.

Key:

- ▲ Your students' average was significantly higher ($p < .05$) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.
- △ Your students' average was significantly higher ($p < .05$) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.
- No significant difference.
- ▼ Your students' average was significantly lower ($p < .05$) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.
- ▽ Your students' average was significantly lower ($p < .05$) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.


Theme	Engagement Indicator	Your students compared with regional		FY	SEN
		First-year	Senior		
Academic Challenge	Higher-Order Learning	▽	—	2	3
	Reflective & Integrative Learning	—	▽	3	2
	Learning Strategies	—	—	3	3
Learning with Peers	Quantitative Reasoning	—	—	3	3
	Collaborative Learning	—	—	3	3
Experiences with Faculty	Discussions with Diverse Others	—	—	3	3
	Student-Faculty Interaction	—	—	3	3
Campus Environment	Effective Teaching Practices	—	—	3	3
	Quality of Interactions	—	—	3	3
	Supportive Environment	—	—	3	3

High-Impact Practices

Due to their positive associations with student learning and retention, special undergraduate opportunities are designated "high-impact." For more details and statistical comparisons, see your *High-Impact Practices* report.

First-year
 Service-Learning, Learning Community, and Research w/Faculty

Senior
 Service-Learning, Learning Community, Research w/Faculty, Internship, Study Abroad, and Culminating Senior Experience




	ESU	regional
Participated in one HIP	58%	53%
Participated in two or more HIPs	5%	12%
	ESU	regional
Participated in one HIP	19%	24%
Participated in two or more HIPs	66%	64%

Academic Challenge: Additional Results

The Academic Challenge theme contains four Engagement Indicators as well as several important individual items. The results presented here provide an overview of these individual items. For more information about the Academic Challenge theme, see your *Engagement Indicators* report. To further explore individual item results, see your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons*, the *Major Field Report*, the *Online Institutional Report*, or the Report Builder.

Time Spent Preparing for Class


This figure reports the average weekly class preparation time for your students compared to students in your comparison group.



Year Level	regional	ESU
Senior	13.9	13.4
First-year	14.0	14.0

Reading and Writing


These figures summarize the number of hours your students spent reading for their courses and the average number of pages of assigned writing compared to students in your comparison group. Each is an estimate calculated from two or more separate survey questions.



Year Level	Avg. Hours Reading per Week	Avg. Number of Written Pages
Senior	regional 6.5 ESU 5.1	regional 83.2 ESU 78.2
First-year	regional 6.4 ESU 5.1	regional 49.4 ESU 35.6


Challenging Students to Do Their Best Work

To what extent did students' courses challenge them to do their best work? Response options ranged from 1 = "Not at all" to 7 = "Very much."



Academic Emphasis

How much did students say their institution emphasizes spending significant time studying and on academic work? Response options included "Very much," "Quite a bit," "Some," and "Very little."



	FY		Senior	
	ESU	regional	ESU	regional
Low challenge (1 or 2)	4%	2%	1%	3%
Moderate challenge (3, 4, or 5)	51%	53%	44%	45%
High challenge (6 or 7)	46%	45%	55%	53%

Year Level	Percentage Responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit"
Senior	regional 77% ESU 75%
First-year	regional 79% ESU 73%


Item Comparisons

By examining individual NSSE questions, you can better understand what contributes to your institution's performance on the Engagement Indicators. This section displays the five questions^a on which your students scored the highest and the five questions on which they scored the lowest, relative to students in your comparison group. Parenthetical notes indicate whether an item belongs to a specific Engagement Indicator or is a High-Impact Practice. While these questions represent the largest differences (in percentage points), they may not be the most important to your institutional mission or current program or policy goals. For additional results, see your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons* report.

First-year

Highest Performing Relative to regional


- Institution emphasis on encouraging contact among students from different backgrounds...^c (SE)
- Quality of interactions with academic advisors^d (QI)
- Institution emphasis on attending campus activities and events (...) ^c (SE)
- Reached conclusions based on your own analysis of numerical information (...) ^b (QR)
- Discussions with... People from an economic background other than your own^b (DD)



Item #	Difference
14d.	8.8
13b.	7.8
14h.	6.6
6a.	5.5
8b.	5.4

Lowest Performing Relative to regional

- Instructors taught course sessions in an organized way^c (ET)
- Prepared for exams by discussing or working through course material w/other students^b (CL)
- Participated in a learning community or some other formal program where... (HIP)
- Instructors provided prompt and detailed feedback on tests or completed assignments^c (ET)
- Assigned more than 50 pages of writing^f




Item #	Difference
5b.	-8.2
1g.	-8.3
11c.	-9.6
5e.	-10.0
7.	-12.3

Percentage Point Difference with regional

Senior

Highest Performing Relative to regional


- Quality of interactions with faculty^d (QI)
- Institution emphasis on using learning support services (...) ^c (SE)
- Participated in an internship, co-op, field exp., student teach., clinical placement. (HIP)
- Quality of interactions with academic advisors^d (QI)
- Worked with a faculty member on a research project (HIP)



Item #	Difference
13c.	10.1
14c.	9.8
11a.	9.2
13b.	7.8
11e.	6.2

Lowest Performing Relative to regional

- Completed a culminating senior experience (...) (HIP)
- Participated in a learning community or some other formal program where... (HIP)
- About how many courses have included a community-based project (service-learning)?^e (HIP)
- Included diverse perspectives (...) in course discussions or assignments^b (RI)
- Assigned more than 50 pages of writing^f



Item #	Difference
11f.	-9.8
11c.	-9.9
12.	-10.3
2c.	-11.2
7.	-11.6

Percentage Point Difference with regional

a. The displays on this page draw from the items that make up the ten Engagement Indicators (EIs), six High-Impact Practices (HIPs), and the additional academic challenge items reported on page 2. Key to abbreviations for EI items: HO = Higher-Order Learning, RI = Reflective & Integrative Learning, LS = Learning Strategies, QR = Quantitative Reasoning, CL = Collaborative Learning, DD = Discussions with Diverse Others, SF = Student-Faculty Interaction, ET = Effective Teaching Practices, QI = Quality of Interactions, SE = Supportive Environment. HIP items are also indicated. Item numbering corresponds to the survey facsimile included in your *Institutional Report* and available on the NSSE website.

b. Combination of students responding "Very often" or "Often."

c. Combination of students responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit."

d. Rated at least 6 on a 7-point scale.

e. Percentage reporting at least "Some."

f. Estimate based on the reported amount of course preparation time spent on assigned reading.


g. Estimate based on number of assigned writing tasks of various lengths.

How Students Assess Their Experience

Students' perceptions of their cognitive and affective development, as well as their overall satisfaction with the institution, provide useful evidence of their educational experiences. For more details, see your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons* report.


Perceived Gains Among Seniors

Students reported how much their experience at your institution contributed to their knowledge, skills, and personal development in ten areas.



Satisfaction with ESU

Students rated their overall experience at the institution, and whether or not they would choose it again.



	Students who had an 'excellent' or 'good' experience	Students who would 'probably' or 'definitely' go to your institution again
regional	85%	82%
ESU	77%	75%
regional	85%	84%
ESU	85%	78%

Administration Details

Response Summary

	Count	Resp. rate	Female	Full-time
<i>First-year</i>	136	13%	67%	99%
<i>Senior</i>	172	15%	73%	92%

See your *Administration Summary* and *Respondent Profile* reports for more information.

Additional Questions

Your institution administered the following additional question set(s):

Academic Advising

First-Year Experiences and Senior Transitions

See your *Topical Module* report(s) for results.

What is NSSE?

NSSE annually collects information at hundreds of four-year colleges and universities about student participation in activities and programs that promote their learning and personal development. The results provide an estimate of how undergraduates spend their time and what they gain from attending their college or university. Institutions use their data to identify aspects of the undergraduate experience that can be improved through changes in policy and practice.

NSSE has been in operation since 2000 and has been used at more than 1,600 colleges and universities in the US and Canada. More than 90% of participating institutions administer the survey on a periodic basis.

Visit our website: nsse.indiana.edu